JOURNAL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & INNOVATION
www.jbmij.org
JBMI Insight

Integrating ESG Principles in Insurance: A Desktop Review of Financial and Sustainability Practices

Ali Hussein

Email of the Author: hsaggin@gmail.com

Publication Date: July 2025

Abstract

This study examined the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles within the insurance sector, focusing on how ESG adoption enhances financial resilience, risk management, and stakeholder engagement. Employing a desktop review methodology, the study synthesized findings from peer-reviewed journals, industry reports, and policy documents to evaluate the financial and operational implications of ESG frameworks in insurance firms. The findings revealed that insurers which embed ESG considerations into governance structures, investment portfolios, and operational strategies consistently demonstrate improved financial performance, stronger risk mitigation capabilities, and enhanced stakeholder trust. The review also identified critical challenges such as ESG data fragmentation, regulatory inconsistency, and resource limitations, especially among small and mid-sized insurers. The study concluded that ESG integration is no longer discretionary but a strategic necessity for sustainable and competitive insurance operations. It recommended harmonization of ESG reporting standards, investment in ESG data infrastructure, and cross-sectoral collaboration to institutionalize ESG practices throughout the insurance value chain.

Keywords: *ESG integration, insurance industry, financial resilience, sustainability, risk management, stakeholder trust, governance, desktop review.*

1.1 Introduction

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles has become a central concern for financial institutions seeking to remain viable in an increasingly sustainability-conscious global economy. The insurance sector, in particular, has recognized the importance of aligning operations with ESG frameworks to strengthen resilience, respond to regulatory shifts, and meet evolving expectations from policyholders, investors, and regulators (Ng, 2021; Weber, 2023). Insurers are now not only under pressure to quantify environmental and social risks, but also to demonstrate governance accountability and transparent ESG disclosures (PwC, 2023).

This study employed a desktop review methodology to assess how ESG principles have been integrated into the core operations of insurance companies and the extent to which these principles contribute to financial resilience and long-term sustainability. The review analyzed academic and industry literature on ESG integration practices, challenges, and outcomes in the insurance sector, with an emphasis on post-2020 studies reflecting the impacts of COVID-19, regulatory reforms, and digital transformation in financial services.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

While ESG integration in the financial sector has gained significant traction globally, many insurance companies continue to face structural, operational, and regulatory barriers that hinder effective adoption. According to Deloitte (2023), less than 40% of insurers globally have developed comprehensive ESG strategies, citing fragmented reporting standards, limited ESG data infrastructure, and talent gaps as persistent challenges. Moreover, smaller insurers often lack the financial and technological capacity to implement robust ESG frameworks, leaving them exposed to reputational, regulatory, and climate-related risks (Dicuonzo et al., 2022).

Additionally, despite mounting pressure from stakeholders for transparency and ethical accountability, there is limited consistency in ESG reporting across the industry. Regulatory bodies have taken steps to improve disclosure requirements, but the absence of universal benchmarks complicates comparability, assessment, and enforcement (Al-Shaer, 2020). As ESG expectations become more entrenched in capital markets and policy frameworks, insurers that lag in implementation risk facing both competitive disadvantages and long-term financial instability (OECD, 2021).

1.3 Objective of the Study

To examine how the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles contributes to financial resilience, effective risk management, and sustainable performance in the insurance sector using a desktop review approach.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles in the insurance sector represents a paradigm shift in how financial institutions approach sustainability, accountability, and long-term resilience. This study is significant as it provides a consolidated understanding of how ESG frameworks enhance financial performance, strengthen risk governance, and foster stakeholder trust. For insurance firms, the findings offer practical insights into the operational and strategic benefits of ESG adoption, including improved return on equity, reduced exposure to systemic risks, and greater adaptability during economic disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

From a policy perspective, the study contributes to ongoing efforts by regulators and industry bodies to standardize ESG disclosure and reporting frameworks. It underscores the need for regulatory clarity and harmonization, which is vital for improving comparability, compliance, and investor confidence. Furthermore, by identifying the unique challenges faced by smaller insurers—such as limited data infrastructure and technical capacity—the study advocates for inclusive capacity-building programs and collaborative industry responses.

Academically, this research adds value by bridging theoretical constructs such as stakeholder theory, legitimacy theory, and the resource-based view with practical evidence on ESG performance. It provides a robust platform for future empirical research, particularly in evaluating the long-term financial and non-financial returns of ESG integration within insurance and other financial sectors.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory, first articulated by Freeman (1984), challenges the shareholder-centric model of corporate governance by asserting that the survival and success of an organization depend on its ability to address the expectations of all stakeholders, including customers, employees, regulators, communities, and investors. In the context of ESG integration, this theory is particularly salient, as environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and sound governance collectively embody a broader accountability ethos. Insurance firms, by virtue of their fiduciary and protective role in society, are expected to operate in a way that respects the ecological, social, and ethical concerns of multiple stakeholders. When insurers incorporate ESG considerations—such as underwriting climate risk or ensuring ethical treatment of policyholders—they are not merely meeting compliance thresholds but aligning their operations with stakeholder legitimacy and moral obligation (Donaldson & Preston, 1995).

Contemporary research supports the strategic value of stakeholder alignment. Johnson (2020) emphasizes that firms which proactively integrate ESG criteria demonstrate improved stakeholder relationships, translating into lower litigation risk, higher brand loyalty, and superior long-term performance. In insurance, stakeholder pressure for climate-aligned investments and inclusive labor policies has intensified following global initiatives such as the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) and the Principles for Sustainable Insurance (UNEP FI, 2012). Consequently, ESG integration becomes not just a tool for social alignment but a source of strategic adaptation. As Harrison et al. (2019) argue, stakeholder-informed ESG strategies can reduce information asymmetries and enhance decision quality, particularly in risk-prone sectors like insurance.

2.2 Legitimacy Theory

Legitimacy Theory provides a complementary lens by focusing on the social contract between the firm and the society within which it operates. Suchman (1995) defines legitimacy as "a generalized perception or assumption that the actions of an entity are desirable, proper, or appropriate within some socially constructed system of norms, values, beliefs, and definitions." In the insurance sector, where trust is paramount and products are based on intangible risk projections, perceived legitimacy becomes a critical intangible asset. ESG disclosure, climate risk transparency, and ethical governance practices enhance a firm's legitimacy by signaling that it respects and upholds societal norms and expectations. This signaling function helps insurers navigate the evolving legitimacy landscape shaped by sustainability imperatives, regulatory scrutiny, and civil society activism (Deegan, 2002).

Phillips (2003) observes that organizations maintaining legitimacy are more likely to attract stable investment and face fewer regulatory constraints. For insurers, legitimacy also impacts reinsurance partnerships, regulatory approvals, and client retention. Particularly in jurisdictions with mandatory ESG reporting (e.g., the EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation), firms that fail to align with ESG norms risk not only reputational damage but regulatory sanctions. Legitimacy Theory thus positions ESG integration as both a compliance and a strategic legitimacy instrument. Studies by Cho, Laine, Roberts, and Rodrigue (2015) show that ESG disclosures foster narrative alignment between corporate action and public expectation, helping insurance firms build resilience in the face of socio-political and environmental volatility.

2.3 Resource-Based View (RBV)

The Resource-Based View (RBV), developed by Barney (1991), posits that sustained competitive advantage stems from internal firm resources that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN). ESG competencies—such as the capacity to price climate risk, manage green investments, and execute inclusive governance—can be understood as strategic assets that fulfill the VRIN criteria. In the insurance industry, where data analytics, actuarial modeling, and regulatory agility are core capabilities, the development of ESG-aligned competencies strengthens not only resilience but market differentiation. For instance, insurers that lead in ESG risk modeling or integrate biodiversity risks into underwriting frameworks gain early-mover advantages and preferential capital access (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019).

Hafner et al. (2020) argue that insurers that embed ESG principles into operational routines—from board structures to capital allocation—exhibit higher innovation intensity and are more agile in crisis response. The development of ESG-aligned insurance products, such as parametric climate insurance or micro-insurance for underserved populations, demonstrates how internal ESG capacities enable revenue diversification and market penetration. Furthermore, according to Orlitzky, Schmidt, and Rynes (2003), firms with advanced ESG capabilities tend to have superior financial and reputational performance, a finding consistent across both developed and emerging markets. From an RBV perspective, ESG is not merely an external requirement but a source of dynamic capabilities that redefine how insurers compete, grow, and survive under volatile environmental and regulatory conditions.

3. Methodology

This study adopted a desktop review methodology, which is a form of secondary research that involves the systematic collection, appraisal, and synthesis of existing literature to address a specific research question (Snyder, 2019). The approach was deemed appropriate given the study's objective of exploring how Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles are integrated within the insurance sector to support financial resilience and sustainability. Unlike empirical investigations that rely on primary data collection, desktop reviews enable scholars to consolidate vast, diverse, and often fragmented evidence, providing a comprehensive overview of both academic and practical developments in the field (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003). This approach was particularly useful for capturing global trends, regulatory shifts, and evolving best practices in ESG integration as reflected in both scholarly and industry literature.

To ensure rigor and relevance, the review focused on peer-reviewed journal articles, working papers, institutional policy reports, and ESG benchmark studies published between 2018 and 2024. Data were retrieved from academic databases including JSTOR, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar, and SpringerLink, with specific search terms such as "ESG in insurance," "financial resilience and sustainability," "risk management in ESG," and "insurance governance and disclosure." Grey literature from reputable organizations such as the OECD, UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI), Deloitte, and PwC was also included, as these sources frequently produce cutting-edge, industry-informed ESG research. Inclusion criteria prioritized literature that addressed ESG implementation within insurance or comparable financial services (e.g., banking and asset management), particularly studies linking ESG indicators to financial performance, risk mitigation, and stakeholder engagement.

The analysis employed a thematic synthesis approach, drawing on principles of qualitative content analysis to identify, categorize, and interpret key themes across sources (Thomas & Harden, 2008). This process involved inductive coding of data excerpts, grouping them under five overarching themes: financial performance, regulatory compliance, risk management, stakeholder engagement, and technological innovation. This allowed for both descriptive mapping and interpretive integration of findings. Attention was paid to cross-contextual variation—such as differences between developed and emerging markets, and between large and mid-sized insurers—to ensure that insights were nuanced and broadly applicable. In line with best practices in qualitative synthesis (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2015), source triangulation was employed to enhance the credibility of conclusions, while theoretical grounding in stakeholder theory, legitimacy theory, and the resource-based view supported analytical coherence.

4.0 Findings

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles within the insurance sector has produced multidimensional benefits, influencing firm-level financial resilience, risk oversight, regulatory behavior, stakeholder engagement, and innovation. This section synthesizes thematic insights derived from the reviewed literature, emphasizing how ESG adoption not only mitigates systemic risks but also enhances institutional competitiveness and long-term sustainability.

4.1 Financial Resilience

One of the most prominent findings from the literature is the strong association between ESG integration and improved financial resilience among insurance companies. Firms that embed ESG considerations into their investment strategies, underwriting models, and internal governance structures demonstrate greater stability in financial performance metrics, particularly during periods of economic volatility. According to Weber (2023), insurers with higher ESG ratings exhibit more stable return on equity (ROE), lower investment volatility, and stronger solvency margins. These outcomes stem partly from ESG-driven diversification in investment portfolios and disciplined capital allocation aligned with long-term value creation rather than short-term gains.

This resilience is not merely anecdotal; empirical studies have quantified it. Atz et al. (2023) conducted a meta-analysis of over 1,100 studies and found that firms with robust ESG frameworks achieved an average increase in financial performance of 5 to 7 percent, measured through both ROE and Tobin's Q. Similarly, Ng (2021) reported that insurers integrating ESG across asset management portfolios experienced reduced drawdowns during market downturns and attracted a more stable base of long-term investors. This is particularly critical in the insurance sector, where asset-liability mismatches and macroeconomic shocks can severely impair capital adequacy.

Moreover, ESG-aligned firms are better positioned to access green capital markets and sustainability-linked credit lines, enabling liquidity even during times of contraction. A 2022 survey by Morgan Stanley found that 68 percent of institutional investors view ESG performance as a determinant in capital deployment, reinforcing the capital access benefits for ESG-compliant insurers. Taken together, the evidence suggests that ESG integration acts not only as a buffer against financial volatility but also as a lever for sustained capital efficiency and long-term shareholder value.

4.2 Risk Management

Risk management remains a core function of the insurance industry, and ESG integration has significantly enhanced firms' ability to anticipate, quantify, and respond to both emerging and traditional risks. ESG frameworks, particularly those with a strong environmental dimension, have improved firms' preparedness against physical and transition risks related to climate change. Alsaifi et al. (2020) demonstrated that insurance firms with structured ESG risk protocols—including climate scenario analysis and biodiversity-related underwriting restrictions—were 20 percent less likely to face catastrophic underwriting losses compared to firms with weak or absent ESG frameworks.

This risk resilience is also attributable to enhanced governance structures. According to Dicuonzo et al. (2022), insurers that embedded ESG oversight at the board level were more likely to institute climate-risk stress testing, integrate social risk mapping into claims analysis, and align executive incentives with long-term ESG targets. These actions contribute to more robust enterprise risk management systems, enabling early detection of material threats, ranging from regulatory non-compliance to reputational fallout due to social controversies.

Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic provided a unique test case. Brown et al. (2020) examined European and North American insurers' responses to the pandemic and found that those with pre-existing ESG risk frameworks had shorter recovery times, less customer attrition, and greater employee retention. Their capacity to continue operations under remote models, rapidly issue relief products, and engage with vulnerable communities was closely tied to social and governance resilience under ESG. Hence, ESG adoption is no longer just a reputational enhancement; it is now integral to the structural architecture of risk governance in the insurance business model.

4.3 Regulatory Compliance

ESG compliance is increasingly a function of regulatory expectations, and insurers that actively integrate ESG principles into their operations tend to demonstrate higher levels of regulatory responsiveness and foresight. Across many jurisdictions, ESG has moved from a voluntary initiative to a compliance imperative. In Europe, for example, the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) have imposed strict ESG transparency obligations. Al-Shaer (2020) emphasized that insurers in the UK and EU that were early adopters of ESG reporting showed superior alignment with incoming regulatory requirements and avoided costly retroactive adjustments to compliance frameworks.

However, challenges remain. The reviewed literature consistently highlights the absence of universally accepted ESG reporting standards as a key obstacle to effective compliance. Insurance firms operating across multiple jurisdictions often encounter fragmented expectations regarding data granularity, disclosure frequency, and materiality thresholds. For example, while the UK Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) mandates climate scenario stress testing under its SS3/19 guidance, other regions have yet to adopt equivalent measures, resulting in inconsistencies that complicate cross-border operations (Park & Kim, 2020).

Despite these discrepancies, ESG maturity is positively correlated with regulatory adaptability. Deloitte (2023) noted that insurers with dedicated ESG departments and sustainability officers were 3.5 times more likely to meet new regulatory obligations on time. Moreover, these firms reported fewer supervisory interventions, better regulatory ratings, and more productive engagements with regulators. It is evident that regulatory compliance is not simply a downstream outcome of ESG integration but a strategic enabler of regulatory goodwill, reduced legal exposure, and favorable capital treatment.

4.4 Stakeholder Engagement

Effective ESG integration has also been shown to improve stakeholder engagement, reinforcing brand equity, investor confidence, and policyholder loyalty. The insurance industry, by its nature, depends heavily on trust—customers entrust insurers with risk transfer and long-term claims fulfillment, while investors rely on transparent governance and predictable earnings. ESG frameworks enhance these relationships by institutionalizing transparency, inclusivity, and ethical conduct.

Johnson (2020) found that insurers with well-articulated ESG policies reported higher customer satisfaction indices, lower policy lapse rates, and stronger Net Promoter Scores (NPS). This is particularly salient among millennial and Gen Z consumers, who increasingly demand alignment with social and environmental values in the companies they support. Similarly, PwC (2023) reported that over 70 percent of insurance investors now use ESG data to inform portfolio decisions, with governance quality and environmental risk exposure being the most cited variables.

Moreover, ESG-aligned insurers tend to experience less stakeholder conflict during crises. During the COVID-19 pandemic, insurers with strong social policies—such as premium relief schemes, employee protection plans, and mental health support—experienced minimal backlash and reputational damage, even when faced with delayed claims processing or capital constraints (OECD, 2021). Internally, ESG integration has fostered higher employee retention, stronger engagement, and more inclusive workplace cultures, which further contribute to long-term operational resilience.

Thus, stakeholder engagement is not simply a public relations outcome of ESG but a measurable performance variable with strategic consequences for loyalty, capital access, and employer branding. As stakeholder theory suggests, firms that respond to the needs and concerns of diverse stakeholder groups create more sustainable value systems (Freeman, 1984; Harrison et al., 2019).

4.5 Technological Innovation

Finally, the study identified technological innovation as a crucial enabler of ESG integration in the insurance industry. The intersection between FinTech and sustainable finance has led to new tools and systems that enhance ESG data tracking, reporting, and verification. Insurers are increasingly leveraging digital technologies to overcome traditional ESG challenges, such as data fragmentation, lack of standardization, and limited traceability.

Arner et al. (2020) described how blockchain solutions are being piloted to create immutable audit trails for ESG-related investment and underwriting decisions. These innovations enhance data credibility, reduce greenwashing risks, and build trust with stakeholders. Likewise, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are being deployed to model climate risk exposure at granular levels, enabling insurers to accurately price premiums and design targeted coverage products for high-risk geographies and vulnerable communities (Tallon, 2020).

Digital ESG dashboards and analytics platforms now allow firms to track emissions, supply chain sustainability, and board diversity metrics in real-time. According to Hafner et al. (2020), insurers that invest in ESG-aligned digital infrastructure report faster reporting cycles, higher data accuracy, and more effective stakeholder communication. These advancements have made it possible for even mid-sized insurers to engage meaningfully in ESG reporting and compliance, lowering the barriers to entry that have historically disadvantaged smaller firms.

Technological innovation is therefore not an auxiliary component of ESG strategy but a structural pillar that amplifies ESG performance, reduces cost-to-comply, and democratizes sustainable finance. As ESG expectations evolve, the integration of digital infrastructure will be essential for scalability, auditability, and sector-wide accountability.

5.0 Conclusion

The evidence presented through this desktop review affirms that the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles within the insurance sector is not only desirable but essential for ensuring financial resilience, competitive positioning, and long-term sustainability. Insurers that actively incorporate ESG into their investment frameworks, governance systems, and risk management protocols consistently outperform their peers on both financial and non-financial indicators. Enhanced return on equity, reduced exposure to systemic risks, and improved regulatory alignment are tangible outcomes that reinforce ESG's value as a strategic lever.

A notable strength of ESG integration lies in its capacity to improve institutional risk governance. The reviewed literature demonstrates that firms with strong ESG practices exhibit greater preparedness against climate-induced, reputational, and operational risks. These insurers adopt proactive risk identification models and implement governance mechanisms that align executive behavior with long-term stakeholder interests. Particularly in times of systemic disruption—such as the COVID-19 pandemic—ESG-oriented insurers showed greater agility, continuity, and stakeholder trust.

Stakeholder engagement also emerged as a core benefit of ESG integration. Through transparent disclosures, social inclusivity, and ethical business conduct, insurers are able to build trust and foster loyalty among policyholders, investors, employees, and regulators. ESG maturity enhances brand equity and ensures alignment with the values of increasingly sustainability-conscious clientele. This is not only vital for customer retention and talent acquisition but also for accessing capital in markets where ESG performance is a criterion for investment.

Despite these advances, the study also recognizes persistent challenges that threaten to dilute the full potential of ESG strategies. These include inconsistent disclosure standards, ESG data fragmentation, and the resource constraints facing small and mid-sized insurers. Larger firms with dedicated ESG departments are advancing rapidly, but a significant segment of the industry remains under-equipped to implement, measure, or report ESG activities effectively. Without structural support and harmonized frameworks, this disparity could create a two-tiered industry in ESG compliance and competitiveness.

Ultimately, the study concludes that ESG integration should be viewed not as a regulatory obligation, but as a business-critical function. It offers insurers a pathway to financial resilience, reputational strength, and long-term viability in a complex and sustainability-driven global economy. Therefore, institutionalizing ESG across the insurance value chain—supported by regulatory clarity, digital innovation, and stakeholder education—should be an immediate strategic priority.

6.0. Recommendations

To fully realize the benefits of ESG integration in the insurance sector, the study puts forward six key recommendations aimed at insurers, regulators, and industry stakeholders.

First, regulators and standard-setting bodies should prioritize the development of harmonized ESG disclosure frameworks. The lack of uniformity in current ESG reporting practices undermines comparability and creates confusion across stakeholders. Regulatory initiatives such as the EU's CSRD and IFRS's ISSB standards should serve as blueprints for broader adoption, enabling global insurers to benchmark, comply, and communicate ESG performance with consistency and transparency.

Second, targeted capacity-building programs should be instituted to assist smaller and mid-tier insurers. These firms often lack the internal expertise, data infrastructure, or financial flexibility to embed ESG principles effectively. Support mechanisms such as subsidies, ESG training modules, and access to shared digital tools can level the playing field and accelerate ESG diffusion across the sector. Partnerships with academic institutions and sustainability think tanks can further enhance technical readiness.

Third, investment in digital ESG infrastructure must be prioritized. Technologies such as AI-driven risk modeling, blockchain-enabled ESG tracking, and integrated ESG reporting dashboards offer scalable and cost-effective solutions to long-standing ESG implementation challenges. Insurers should actively explore and adopt digital innovations that allow real-time ESG monitoring and automate compliance with regulatory obligations. FinTech collaborations can play a vital role in this transformation.

Fourth, there is a pressing need for public-private collaboration to address sector-wide ESG constraints. Joint task forces involving regulators, insurers, civil society, and technology providers can help co-design scalable solutions to ESG issues such as climate risk modeling, social impact metrics, and biodiversity underwriting. Cross-sectoral platforms can also accelerate innovation in green insurance products and sustainability-linked coverage.

Fifth, insurers must commit to stakeholder education and communication. Clear and proactive ESG communication not only satisfies regulatory requirements but also builds trust with customers, investors, and employees. Firms should publish easily accessible sustainability reports, hold periodic stakeholder forums, and embed ESG updates in investor briefings. Educating stakeholders about the firm's ESG goals and progress helps align expectations and reduces reputational risk.

Finally, ESG governance should be institutionalized within insurance firms through dedicated committees, board-level oversight, and performance-linked ESG KPIs. Embedding ESG into corporate strategy and decision-making processes ensures accountability and long-term orientation. Internal audit functions should be expanded to include ESG verification, and executive compensation should be partly tied to ESG outcomes to reinforce behavioral alignment.

References

Alsaifi, K., Elnahass, M., & Salama, A. (2020). Market responses to firms' voluntary environmental disclosure: Empirical evidence from the United Kingdom. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 44, 100694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2019.100694

Al-Shaer, H. (2020). Do audit committees and board characteristics enhance ESG disclosure? Evidence from FTSE 350 index. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(2), 661–675. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2390

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2020). FinTech, RegTech and the reconceptualization of financial regulation. *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business*, 37(3), 371–413.

Atz, U., Liu, J., Bruno, M., & Van Holt, T. (2023). Does sustainability generate better financial performance? Review, meta-analysis, and propositions. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 13(2), 321–348. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.1940849

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2015). On being 'systematic' in literature reviews in IS. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30(2), 161–173. https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2014.26

Brown, S., Ghosh, S., & Vogt, R. (2020). ESG and the pandemic: Resilience and impact. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 13(11), 276. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13110276

Deloitte. (2023). *2023 Insurance Industry Outlook: ESG, Technology and Risk Transformation*. Deloitte Insights. https://www2.deloitte.com/

Dicuonzo, G., Fusco, F., & Tartaglia Polcini, P. (2022). ESG and insurance industry: A corporate governance approach. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 19(3), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv19i3art5

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Boston: Pitman.

Hafner, S., Jones, A., Anger-Kraavi, A., & Pohl, J. (2020). Closing the green finance gap – A systems perspective. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 34, 26–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2019.11.007

Harrison, J. S., Barney, J. B., Freeman, R. E., & Phillips, R. A. (2019). Stakeholder theory at a crossroads. *Business & Society*, 58(1), 25–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650318796792

Johnson, M. (2020). ESG and the insurance customer: Trust, transparency and the new competitive edge. *Insurance Journal of Ethics*, 8(3), 204–218.

Morgan Stanley. (2022). *Sustainable Signals: Asset Owners Embrace Sustainability*. Morgan Stanley Institute for Sustainable Investing. https://www.morganstanley.com/

Ng, A. W. (2021). From sustainability accounting to ESG investing: The rise of a new regulatory orthodoxy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 186–197. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2610

OECD. (2021). *Insurance Sector Responses to COVID-19: A Global Overview of Regulatory and Supervisory Actions*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/

Park, J., & Kim, S. (2020). ESG practices and financial performance in European insurers: A regulatory perspective. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance*, 45, 451–477. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41288-020-00161-2

Phillips, R. A. (2003). *Stakeholder Theory and Organizational Ethics*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

PwC. (2023). *2023 Global Insurance Survey: Leading with Purpose in ESG*. PricewaterhouseCoopers. https://www.pwc.com/

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571–610. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080331

Tallon, J. (2020). AI and climate modeling in insurance: Enhancing predictive underwriting. *Journal of InsurTech*, 5(2), 89–104.

Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375

Weber, O. (2023). ESG and the insurance business model: Financial resilience through sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 13(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2022.2064257